

**PINELLAS PLANNING COUNCIL
AGENDA MEMORANDUM**

AGENDA ITEM: II F.

MEETING DATE: July 18, 2007

SUBJECT:

Consent Agenda:

F. Correspondence

RECOMMENDATION:

Council Receive and Discuss as is Appropriate

BACKGROUND

Correspondence Includes:

1. PAC Minutes – July 9, 2007 (draft), June 11, 2007 (approved)
2. Letter sent June 5, 2007 to Fred Metcalf, Community Development Director, City of Gulfport, Re: Consistency Reconciliation Actions
3. Letter sent June 5, 2007 to Gordon Beardslee, Planning Division Manager, Pinellas County Planning Department, Re: Recreation Fields and Water Blending Facility – Brooker Creek Preserve
4. Letter sent June 11, 2007 to Steve Kurcan, Grady Pridgen, Inc., Re: Master Development Plan for La Entrada
5. Letter sent June 18, 2007 to Tom Shevlin, Assistant City Manager, City of Pinellas Park, Re: Consistency Reconciliation Actions
6. Letter sent June 18, 2007 to Renea Vincent, Planning and Zoning Director, City of Tarpon Springs, Re: Planners Advisory Committee – Appointment to serve as Vice Chairman
7. Copy of letter to Robert DeSpirito, City Manager, City of Dunedin, from Commissioner Susan Latvala, Pinellas County Commissioner, Chairman, Pinellas Schools Collaborative, received June 22, 2007, Re: Proposed Public School Facilities Element (PSFE)
8. Letter sent June 22, 2007 to Mayor Frank Hibbard, City of Clearwater, and to Mayor William F. Mischler, City of Pinellas Park, Re: June 20, 2007 PPC Meeting Follow-up

PINELLAS PLANNING COUNCIL ACTION:

COUNTYWIDE PLANNING AUTHORITY ACTION:

SUBJECT: Other Council Business - Correspondence

9. Letters sent June 22, 2007 to property owner/representative, Re: June 20, 2007 PPC Meeting Follow-up (Land Use Cases)

**MINUTES OF THE PLANNERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, JULY 9, 2007
BANK OF AMERICA BUILDING
600 CLEVELAND STREET, SUITE 850, EIGHTH FLOOR
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA**

Members Present:

Fred Metcalf, Chairman
Renea Vincent, Vice Chairman
Sharen Jarzen
Lauren Matzke
Jeff Dow
Catherine Porter
Ron Rinzivillo
Steve DeMerritt
Bob Klute
Marie Dauphinais
Dean Neal
Danny Taylor
Paul Geisz
Gordon Beardslee

City of Gulfport
City of Tarpon Springs
City of Seminole
City of St. Pete Beach
City of Dunedin
City of Clearwater
City of Safety Harbor
City of Treasure Island
City of Largo
City of Oldsmar
City of Pinellas Park
City of Indian Rocks Beach
City of St. Petersburg
Pinellas County

Also Present:

John Cueva
Bruce Bussey
David Healey
Michael Crawford
Ryan Brinson
Linda Fisher
Michael Schoderbock
Carolyn Shoemaker

Pinellas County Development Review Services
Pinellas County Community Development
Pinellas Planning Council
Pinellas Planning Council
Pinellas Planning Council
Pinellas Planning Council
Pinellas Planning Council
Pinellas Planning Council

Chairman Metcalf called the meeting to order at 1:30 P.M.

Minutes – Chairman Metcalf asked if there were any comments or corrections to be made to the minutes of the June 11, 2007, PAC meeting. There being none, Dean Neal moved to approve the minutes; the motion was seconded by Sharen Jarzen and carried (vote 12-0).

Chairman Metcalf extended thanks to Renea Vincent for her willingness to serve as Vice Chairman of the PAC for the remainder of 2007.

Old Business – none.

Review of PPC Agenda for July 18, 2007, Meeting – Received.

Countywide Planning Authority Actions – June – Mr. Crawford informed the PAC members that at their meeting on June 19, 2007, the Countywide Planning Authority set public hearings dates of August 7 and August 21, 2007, regarding the issue of proposed Countywide Rule amendments for hotel density.

Annexation Report – June 2007 – Michael Schoderbock summarized the report on voluntary annexations for the month of June. He stated that the Council received a total of 10 petitions for voluntary annexation review from the cities of Clearwater, Largo, Oldsmar, Pinellas Park, and Safety Harbor. Of those 10 petitions reviewed, all were found to be in compliance. Existing uses found in the 10 petitions include one office parcel, one industrial parcel, seven residential parcels, and one vacant parcel. These proposed annexations totaled 6.4 acres and approximately \$2.9 million in taxable value. Total Municipal Service Taxing Unit tax revenues from the \$2.9 million of taxable value are \$6,911 using the 2006/07 fiscal year tax rate. It is estimated that 24 residents will be affected by a change in jurisdiction. Annexation of three of the 10 parcels will reduce three enclaves in the County by 2.7 acres.

Bob Klute entered the meeting at this time.

Annexation Report – Quarterly Summary

Michael Schoderbock stated this report provides a synopsis of staff's limited administrative review of voluntary annexations for the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2006/07 in accordance with Pinellas County Ordinance No. 00-63, and it includes details regarding other forms of annexation that have occurred over the past few years. He reviewed the charts presented in the staff report providing taxable value, acreage summaries, and the percentage of acres annexed in enclaves. He reported that the acreage and taxable value for the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2006/07, April through June, were 35.44 acres and \$8,951,405 respectively. Thirty-four percent (12.1 acres) of the acreage annexed during the quarter reduced or eliminated an enclave.

Mr. Schoderbock noted that the Planning Council has reviewed 1,257 voluntary annexation petitions involving 2,119.4 acres, since November 7, 2000. Also included in the report is a list of successful referendum/involuntary annexations that have occurred in recent years.

As a further update regarding the legal issue associated with Pinellas County Ordinance No. 00-63, on June 13, 2007 oral argument before the Second District Court of Appeals took place at Stetson University College of Law Tampa Campus. A ruling on the County's appeal should be announced in the upcoming months, and the PAC members will be informed of any updates.

Local Assistance Quarterly Status Report – Mr. Crawford reported to the PAC that PPC staff continue to work with municipalities regarding Evaluation and Appraisal Reports (EAR's); and that the two remaining Florida Department of Community Affairs sufficiency reports are expected soon. In other work, PPC staff is continuing work with Redington

Shores to update their Land Development Code and hopes to have a final ordinance by the end of the year.

PLAN AMENDMENTS

Subthreshold Amendment:

CW 07-18 – City of Largo – Mr. Brinson stated that this 5.0 acre site is located on the southwest corner of 150th Avenue North and 49th Street North. The proposed Countywide Plan Map amendment is from Transportation/Utility (T/U) to Institutional (I). The amendment area is developed with two vacant buildings that at one time were administrative offices for the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA). This amendment from T/U to I will allow a City-owned parcel to be used as a homeless shelter. PPC staff also noted that the City of Largo staff has written a letter noting that the proposed homeless shelter is only a temporary use due to future plans to expand the City's wastewater treatment plant; and that to accomplish this expansion, a Countywide Plan Map amendment back to T/U would need to occur at a later date.

Sharen Jarzen inquired as to amendments being “temporary” to which Mr. Crawford noted that no amendment is “temporary”; that the City of Largo will need to go through an official process to switch back to the T/U category.

Dean Neal moved to approve the PPC staff recommendation of approval for case CW 07-18. The motion was seconded by Gordon Beardslee and carried (vote 12-1, representative of City of Seminole casting the dissenting vote).

Regular Amendment:

CW 07-17 – Pinellas County – Mr. Brinson stated that this 0.4-acre site is located on the south side of Bay Pines Boulevard, approximately 1000 feet west of 83rd Street North. The proposed Countywide Plan Map amendment is from Commercial Recreation (CR) to Commercial General (CG). This amendment will bring both the subject property and the neighboring property to the east (which share common ownership) under the same Countywide Plan Map designation. The amendment area is vacant.

After full presentation of the report, Chairman Metcalf asked if there were further input. There being none, Dean Neal moved to approve the PPC staff recommendation of approval for case CW 07-17, including separate and additional recommendation that the County give special consideration to the improvement of the site with respect to the Visual Enhancement Guidelines of the Scenic/Non-Commercial Corridor Master Plan. The motion was seconded by Renea Vincent and carried (vote 13-0).

Annual Plan Map Adjustments – Official Acceptance – Mr. Brinson reported that the Countywide Rules provide a procedure for local governments to submit requests for Countywide Plan Map boundary adjustments including the Water/Drainage Feature,

Preservation, and Recreation/Open Space categories. This process is designed to accommodate minor Countywide Plan Map adjustments based on approved site plans and/or agency jurisdictional survey details. The Council and the Countywide Planning Authority consider such adjustments to the Countywide Plan Map for official acceptance and incorporation on the Countywide Plan Map during the annual update.

Mr. Brinson reported that this year there are a total of eleven map adjustments under consideration from the City of Seminole, the City of Largo, and Pinellas County as summarized below:

The City of Seminole – Has submitted one adjustment for consideration.

- **Area 1.** – This adjustment will shift the Recreation/Open Space category to the west and will replace the site's current Commercial General designation.

The City of Largo – Has submitted two adjustments for consideration.

- **Area 1.** – This adjustment will shift the Preservation designation to the northwest. This area will be replaced with the Residential Low designation to recognize the existing single-family uses and densities that are within this area.
- **Area 2.** – This adjustment will expand the Commercial General designation over the entire site by eliminating the Preservation designation. It should be noted, that Council staff has received a letter from the City of Largo that confirms that this area is devoid of any environmental features or ecological functions.

Pinellas County – Has submitted eight adjustments for consideration.

- **Area 1.** – This adjustment will remove the Water designation which overlays six single-family homes. This area will be replaced by the Residential Low designation to recognize the existing single-family uses and densities within this area. Also, this adjustment will expand the Preservation designation westward by eliminating the Residential Low designation.
- **Area 2.** – This adjustment will expand the Water category to correctly represent a water body that is located on the site. Also, this adjustment will shift the Preservation designation westward, replacing this area with the Residential Urban category.
- **Area 3.** – Since the site does not contain any water body features, this adjustment will remove the Water designation, which will be replaced with the Industrial Limited designation.
- **Area 4.** – This adjustment shifts the Preservation designation to the west. This area will be replaced by the Residential Estate category to recognize the existing single-family uses and densities within this area. Also, this adjustment removes three

separate areas that are designated Residential Estate, which will be replaced by the Preservation category.

- **Area 5.** – This adjustment will remove (located to the south of 59th Place North) and shift (located to the north of 59th Place North) the Water category from two locations, by replacing it with the Residential Low designation to recognize the properties existing single-family use and density.
- **Area 6.** – This adjustment shifts the Preservation designation to the west, which will be replaced by the Residential Low category to recognize the properties' existing single-family use and density. Also, this adjustment will remove two separate areas that are designated Residential Low, which will be replaced by the Preservation category.
- **Area 7.** – This adjustment will expand the Industrial Limited designation over the entire site by eliminating the Preservation designation. It should be noted, that Council staff has received a letter from the County that confirms that this area is devoid of elements worthy of Preservation.
- **Area 8.** – This adjustment is intended to correct a “scrivener’s” error on the Countywide Plan Map and will shift the Preservation designation to the northeast, thereby removing the site’s current Commercial Recreation designation.

Mr. Brinson noted that the Council staff has reviewed the above Countywide Plan Map adjustments and has determined that they are consistent with the Countywide Rules.

Gordon Beardslee inquired as to the City of Largo adjustment (Area 1) noting that from the aerial it appears the area consists of mangroves. Mr. Crawford and Mr. Brinson noted that County staff had submitted the site plan for the property on which this recommendation was based; however, staff will revisit the item to determine if it is appropriate.

Dean Neal moved approval of staff recommendation to accept and receive the boundary adjustments and recommendation to forward to the CPA for official acceptance and subsequent inclusion on the Countywide Plan Map, with the recommendation that the City of Largo Map 1 be revisited by PPC staff. Motion was seconded by Renea Vincent and approved (Vote 13-0).

Ron Rinzivillo entered the meeting at this time.

Nexus Study Re: Inclusionary Housing – Bruce Bussey of the Pinellas County Community Development Department presented key points on an Affordable Housing Nexus Study. The study was prepared in conjunction with the Community Development Department by Bay Area Economics for Pinellas County and the cities of Clearwater, Largo, and St. Petersburg.

Key items presented by Mr. Bussey included:

- Housing Trends
- Housing Needs
- Inclusionary Housing
- Nexus Analysis
- Linkage Fees

Mr. Bussey noted that Housing and Urban Development defines affordability as paying 30% or less of household income for gross housing costs (including utilities, insurance, and taxes); that housing affordability depends on household income, often measured as a percent of area median income (AMI). One-third of County households paid more than 30 percent of their income for housing in 2005 – this figure being even higher now and eighteen percent of County households paid more than half of their income for housing in 2005. He noted that in the study the median price of a single-family home at the end of 2006 was \$199,900 with only 26% of County households being able to afford that price. It is significant to note that wages are not rising as rapidly as home prices, rents, or insurance rates. Also discussed were employee recruitment and retention problems, high transportation costs and commutes for employees seeking more affordable housing in nearby counties.

Inclusionary housing requires that certain sized new housing developments include a minimum number of housing units guaranteed to be affordable for the long term. One of the conclusions the study makes is that the commonly used fifty percent density bonus coupled with the requirement that 20% of these extra units be affordable (at 80% and 100% of the AMI) does not by itself provide a great enough rate of return to make the extra affordable homes feasible.

Linkage fees were discussed – fees to link affordable housing to the development of non-residential uses; but the County concluded that they would not pursue these fees to help offset impacts caused by new development.

Discussion followed with PAC members with Mr. Bussey requesting further input from local jurisdictions. Mr. Crawford noted the need to develop criteria for what should be in affordable housing plans that are required when using density bonuses authorized by the Countywide Rules. He stated that this information from Mr. Bussey was being shared with local governments because they may need to respond to the County's effort to create affordable housing in the near future. He further stated that staff was to meet with the County Attorney's office next week in order to develop a plan of action as to how the Countywide Rules might support efforts to create affordable housing that will be shared with the PPC on July 18th.

TBRPC Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA) Policy Review – Gordon Beardslee presented some suggestions for consistent methods of treating the new CHHA changes utilizing County policies as examples. Mr. Beardslee stated that it would be best if a consistent approach to the new legislation was adopted by all local governments. County staff has worked with Betty Johnson of the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council and Sally Bishop,

the Acting County Emergency Management Director in developing the draft of policy amendments. Mr. Beardslee noted that they have been mapping the new areas discussed for the whole County, but the new policies will be applicable for the unincorporated area only.

Discussion followed with regard to Policy 1.3.1.: The new definition for the CHHA is now the area in the Sea, Lake and Overland Surges from Hurricanes (SLOSH) model to be inundated from a category one hurricane. The proposal is to be more inclusive than the new statutory definition and includes an area described as the "Coastal Storm Area (CSA)" consisting of: (1) the new CHHA; (2) all areas connected to the mainland of Pinellas County by bridges or causeways; (3) those areas at relatively higher elevations that are surrounded by the CHHA or by the CHHA and a body of water; and (4) all areas located within the Velocity Zone as designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Discussion followed with regard to "surge zones" wherein if 20% or more of a parcel of land is located within the CSA then the entire parcel shall be considered within the CSA. Further discussion followed with regard to mitigation and limitations on transfer of development rights within the CSA.

Mr. Crawford noted these changes are reflected on various maps, but they also may impact land use policies and that local governments need to be aware of that. He stated that the PPC will consider amendments to the Countywide Rules that will reflect some of the discussion today and some of the new legislation. He also stated that staff plans on bringing information on this subject to the PPC in September and likely will ask them to allow staff to advertise for a public hearing to amend the Countywide Rules at the October PPC meeting.

Mr. Healey further stated that PPC staff will try to pursue changes to the definitions in the Countywide Rules that would track with the Chapter 163, Florida Statutes provisions. One of the items that he brought up for further consideration is the need to address the potential increase in hotel density as different from units that would be built to accommodate permanent residential units.

Other PAC Business:

Mr. Crawford reminded the PAC members that the August PAC meeting has been cancelled, as the PPC voted at their June 20th meeting to cancel their August 17, 2007, meeting.

Dean Neal moved to adjourn at 3:15 p.m.; the motion was seconded by Sharen Jarzen and carried (vote 14-0).

Respectfully submitted,

David P. Healey, Executive Director

**MINUTES OF THE PLANNERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 11, 2007
BANK OF AMERICA BUILDING
600 CLEVELAND STREET, SUITE 850, EIGHTH FLOOR
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA**

Members Present:

Fred Metcalf, Chairman	City of Gulfport
Sharen Jarzen	City of Seminole
Lauren Matzke	City of St. Pete Beach
Jeff Dow	City of Dunedin
Catherine Porter	City of Clearwater
Ron Rinzivillo	City of Safety Harbor
Steve DeMerritt	City of Treasure Island
Bob Klute	City of Largo
Marie Dauphinais	City of Oldsmar
Steve Fairchild	Pinellas County Schools
Dean Neal	City of Pinellas Park
Danny Taylor	City of Indian Rocks Beach

Also Present:

Liz Freeman	Pinellas County
Michael Crawford	Pinellas Planning Council
Ryan Brinson	Pinellas Planning Council
Linda Fisher	Pinellas Planning Council
Michael Schoderbock	Pinellas Planning Council
Carolyn Shoemaker	Pinellas Planning Council

Chairman Metcalf called the meeting to order at 1:30 P.M.

Minutes – Chairman Metcalf asked if there were any comments or corrections to be made to the minutes of the April 9, 2007, PAC meeting. Jeff Dow noted that on page 4, in the 4th paragraph, the word “with” should be changed to “will”. Dean Neal moved to approve the minutes as corrected; the motion was seconded by Catherine Porter and carried (vote 10-0).

Old Business – none.

Review of PPC Agenda for June 20, 2007, Meeting – Received.

Countywide Planning Authority Actions – May – Mr. Crawford summarized the actions of the CPA and the PAC then received the items. It was noted that with regard to the St. Petersburg Special Area Plan (Phase II), there were a couple of items withdrawn prior to the Pinellas Planning Council meeting, and that the City of St. Petersburg will submit a “glitch

bill” to be brought back to the Council likely in September. Mr. Crawford also informed the PAC members that the Countywide Planning Authority will be discussing setting future public hearings regarding the issue of Countywide Rule amendments for hotel density at their meeting on June 19, 2007.

Annexation Report – May 2007 – Michael Schoderbock summarized the report on voluntary annexations for the month of May. He stated that the Council received a total of 10 petitions for voluntary annexation review from the cities of Clearwater, Largo, Pinellas Park and Safety Harbor. Of those 10 petitions reviewed, all were found to be in compliance. Existing uses found in the 10 petitions include 1 commercial parcel, 1 industrial parcel, 4 residential parcels, and 4 vacant parcels. These proposed annexations totaled 7.4 acres and approximately \$3.1 million in taxable value. Total Municipal Service Taxing Unit tax revenues from the \$3.1 million of taxable value are \$7,215 using the 2006/07 fiscal year tax rate. It is estimated that 8 residents will be affected by a change in jurisdiction. Annexation of 1 of the 10 parcels being annexed will reduce one enclave in the County by 0.15-acres and annexation of 2 of the 10 parcels will eliminate 0.85-acres from 2 enclaves.

Mr. Schoderbock reported that Ordinance No. 00-63 will be before the court this Wednesday for oral arguments and that staff will keep the PAC members updated in this regard.

PLAN AMENDMENTS

Subthreshold Amendments:

CW 07-15 – City of Clearwater – Mr. Brinson stated that this 0.8-acre site is located on the south side of SR 590, approximately 200 feet west of Owen Drive. The proposed Countywide Plan Map amendment is from Residential Urban – RU to Residential/Office Limited – R/OL. The amendment from Residential Urban to Residential/Office Limited will allow the vacant property to be developed with an office use.

CW 07-16 – City of Pinellas Park – Mr. Brinson stated that this 0.3-acre site is located at 6645 – 68th Avenue North. The proposed Countywide Plan Map amendment is from Residential Urban – RU to Residential/Office General – R/OG. This amendment from Residential Urban to Residential/Office General will allow the property to be developed with an office use. The subject site is developed with a single-family home.

Mr. Crawford asked for local government input if any; there being none, Bob Klute moved to approve the PPC staff recommendations for both cases. The motion was seconded by Sharen Jarzen and carried (vote 10-0).

Community Redevelopment District Special Area Plan (Case 06-44, City of Largo) - Supplemental Package – Mr. Crawford reported that on November 15, 2006, the PPC recommended approval of an amendment to the City of Largo’s Clearwater-Largo Road

Community Redevelopment District Special Area Plan subject to conditions; that the City of Largo has submitted a supplemental package identifying corrections; and that staff has reviewed the supplemental package and concluded that the information and corrections provided satisfactorily address the conditions of approval. Mr. Crawford noted that the City of Largo continues to move forward with the development and implementation of the Community Redevelopment Plan.

Mr. Klute stated that if any member wants copies, he would be happy to provide them. Mr. Crawford complimented the City of Largo on the Special Area Plan both in content related to the Countywide Rules and in the City identifying ways to meet the included goals.

Dean Neal moved to approve staff's recommendation that the Council receive and file the supplemental package; the motion was seconded by Sharen Jarzen and carried (vote 10-0).

Other PAC Business:

Discussion of TBRPC Coastal High Hazard Area Review – Mr. Crawford noted that he and Linda Fisher had attended the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council (TBRPC) meeting last Friday concerning the Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA), and he presented an update concerning recent state legislation on the definition of the CHHA wherein it now covers a different area than previously, and creates a new set of guidelines for adopting and maintaining level of service standards for hurricane evacuation. Mr. Crawford noted these changes have important implications for disaster planning and land development in Pinellas County, and the TBRPC has drafted a set of policy recommendations which have been included in the PAC agenda to assist local governments in meeting the requirements of the new legislation. The purpose of this agenda item is to inform the PAC and the Council and receive input on these policy recommendations in respect to the Countywide Rules.

Ron Rinzivillo and Marie Dauphinais entered the meeting at this time.

Mr. Crawford noted that the local governments are expected to amend their comprehensive plans by July 2008 to reflect changes in the CHHA boundary. He presented a series of five maps denoting: (1) the current CHHA area; (2) the current SLOSH Model surge lines for a Category 1 hurricane; (3) denoting coastal storm zones; (4) denoting Optional Hurricane Vulnerability zone; and (5) denoting an Optional Coastal Planning Area.

Mr. Crawford noted that Pinellas County evacuation level of service is now 23-28 hours clearance times for out-of-county evacuation; and with using a conservative number of 15% of evacuees seeking shelter space the county is in a deficit; that this could be compounded with longer clearance times since more will likely seek local shelter. He pointed out that local governments can be more restrictive relative to what will be described as the new CHHA; that they should consider the fact that the SLOSH Model doesn't factor in wave height and vulnerability relative to storm surge caused by velocity, especially regarding barrier islands.

Mitigation issues were addressed by Mr. Crawford as outlined in the attachment provided by the TBRPC— education is important; there is concern that those vulnerable will stay put and those who are not as vulnerable will evacuate; there is a need to address shelter deficits; and to fund mitigation with possible new development impact fees. He noted that mitigation could focus on not allowing new development to aggravate the problem with additional density via Future Land Use Plan Map amendments. Any additional units as well as current deficiencies can be addressed.

In summary, Mr. Crawford stated that the requirements are to adopt the new CHHA definition (or be more restrictive); to revise the local FLUP map; and to adopt level of service on evacuation times or adopt mitigation.

Mr. Crawford suggested PAC review the support package submitted by TBRPC; take a look at mitigation; and provide TBRPC with input.

Dean Neal inquired about possible capacity outside of the area – perhaps other counties and even other states. Mr. Crawford indicated he believed this had been addressed regionally in the emergency management plan, but noted that evacuation times would be difficult in an emergency situation.

Liz Freeman indicated that the County is developing a response to this, and there will be a draft by the end of the month which will be posted on the County website; she further suggested that this might be discussed by PAC at their next meeting with the possibility of inviting the municipalities not directly represented on the PAC to send representatives to join in the discussion. Chairman Metcalf recommended this be placed on the July agenda for PAC in order to bring the groups together instead of having 25 different policy decisions and thus try to come up with collective suggestions.

Mr. Crawford also noted the PPC would place this on its website as well if the County desired.

Ms. Jarzen noted an additional concern would be roving vehicles that have the capability to remove accidents from the evacuation routes, or for those who might run out of gas as Mr. Crawford noted occurred in Louisiana.

Mr. Crawford noted that staff will look at potentially addressing this CHHA discussion and issues through amendment of the Countywide Rules.

Revision of PAC Membership Roster – Election of Vice Chairman

Chairman Metcalf noted that with the resignation of Jerry Paradise from the City of Oldsmar, it is necessary to elect a new vice chairman of the PAC. Dean Neal nominated Renea Vincent to serve as vice chairman for the remainder of 2007; the motion was seconded by Marie Dauphinais and carried (vote 12-0).

Mr. Crawford indicated that staff will so advise Ms. Vincent who was not present at today's meeting.

Renewal of Interlocal Agreements for Planning Services - Mr. Crawford reported that the Council has been providing professional planning assistance to local governments in Pinellas for many years. In 1997, this assistance was formalized using interlocal agreements with the individual local governments. He stated that the Council has 18 such agreements at this time, 15 of which are for a period of three years and are due to expire on September 30, 2007, unless extended to 2010 by mutual written agreement. Two of the three remaining agreements are due for renewal in 2009, with one agreement due for renewal in 2010. He also noted that the renewal agreement is not ready at this time but will be available for the PPC meeting date and can be viewed in the online agenda package at that time.

The Council is being asked to authorize renewal of the interlocal agreements for planning services to 2010, and meetings will be held with each municipality to discuss as necessary and upon request.

Miscellaneous

Mr. Crawford also reported to the PAC members that on June 27, at 6:30 p.m., on HGTV (Home and Garden Television Channel), "Rezoned" will be featured on the show. This segment will concern Bob Jeffrey's project that he has worked on in St. Petersburg and staff believes from a planner's perspective, the members will find this interesting. He also noted that Mr. Jeffrey will be leaving the City of St. Petersburg to enter into the private sector in the near future; at the current time he is staying on in a part-time capacity to finish out the update of St. Petersburg Land Development Regulations.

Mr. Brinson noted that map adjustment requests were due last Friday, but staff is still receiving them for placement on the July agenda.

Mr. Crawford thanked the members for coming today especially in light of the short meeting. Lastly, he stated that he and Mr. Mettler will be setting meetings with the communities regarding the consistency report follow-up.

Dean Neal moved to adjourn at 2:05 p.m.; the motion was seconded by Sharen Jarzen and carried (vote 12-0).

Mr. Crawford also noted that we do expect to have a PAC meeting in July; however, the August meeting is expected to be cancelled.

Respectfully submitted,


David P. Healey, Executive Director