

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
PINELLAS PLANNING COUNCIL
February 12, 2014

The Pinellas Planning Council (PPC) met in regular session in the County Commission Assembly Room, Pinellas County Courthouse, 315 Court Street, Clearwater, Florida, at 3:26 P.M. with the following members present:

Doreen Hock-DiPolito, Vice-Chairman, City of Clearwater Councilmember
John Morrone, Treasurer, County Commissioner
Harriet K. Crozier, City of Largo Commissioner
Dave Eggers, City of Dunedin Mayor
Jim Kennedy, City of St. Petersburg Councilmember
Joanne "Cookie" Kennedy, City of Indian Rocks Beach Vice-Mayor
Jerry Mullins, City of Pinellas Park Vice-Mayor
Peggy O'Shea, Pinellas County School Board Member

Not Present:

David O. Archie, Chairman, City of Tarpon Springs Mayor
Joe Ayoub, Secretary, City of Safety Harbor Mayor
Doug Bevis, City of Oldsmar Mayor
Samuel Henderson, City of Gulfport Mayor

Also Present:

Michael C. Crawford, Interim Executive Director, PPC
Linda A. Fisher, PPC Staff
Christopher Mettler, PPC Staff
Michael D. Schoderbock, PPC Staff
Carolyn Shoemaker, PPC Staff
Jewel White, Managing Assistant County Attorney
Other interested individuals
Michael P. Schmidt, Board Reporter, Deputy Clerk
Minutes by Helen Groves, Senior Board Reporter

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
 - A. Invocation and Pledge
 - B. Identification of Members Present
 - C. Recognition of Outgoing Member

 - II. CONSENT AGENDA
 - A. Minutes of January 8, 2014 Council Meeting
 - B. Financial Statement for January 2014
 - C. CPA Actions – January and February 2014
 - D. Annexation Report – January 2014
 - E. Preliminary March 2014 Agenda
 - F. Correspondence and PAC Agenda Action Sheet (Draft)

 - III. PUBLIC HEARING – To begin at 3:00 P.M. or as soon thereafter as agenda permits
 - A. Public Hearing Format Announcement and Oath
 - B. Amendments to the Countywide Future Land Use Plan
 - Subthreshold Amendments
Case CW 14-05: City of Dunedin
 - Regular Amendments
 - 1. Case CW 14-06: City of St. Petersburg (SAP Adoption 2014)
 - 2. Case CW 14-07: City of St. Petersburg (to be continued)
-
- IV. REPORTS/OTHER ACTION
 - A. Minor Plan Change: Case CW 01-25 (SAP Change No. 8-2014 Beach By Design – City of Clearwater
 - B. Truth in Annexation Online Worksheet – Update for Fiscal Year 2014
 - C. Countywide Plan and Transportation Update: Technical Memo II – Updated Countywide Plan
 - D. ACPT Memorandum of Understanding
-
- V. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ITEMS
 - A. PPC/MPO Unification Update (Verbal)
 - B. Annual Audit for Fiscal Year 2012-13 (Fiscal Year 13) – Acceptance and Distribution
 - C. Verbal Reports
-
- VI. OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS
 - Chairman/Member Items
-
- VII. ADJOURNMENT

CALL TO ORDER

Vice-Chairman Hock-DiPolito called the meeting to order at 3:26 P.M. and welcomed those in attendance.

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE

The Invocation was given by Vice-Mayor Cookie Kennedy, following which she led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

IDENTIFICATION OF MEMBERS PRESENT

At the Vice-Chairman's request, a roll call was taken in which the members introduced themselves.

RECOGNITION OF OUTGOING MEMBER – DEFERRED TO MARCH MEETING

CONSENT AGENDA – APPROVED

Vice-Chairman Hock-DiPolito presented the Consent Agenda items, as follows:

- A. Minutes of January 8, 2014 Council Meeting
- B. Financial Statement for January 2014
- C. CPA Actions – January and February 2014
- D. Annexation Report – January 2014
- E. Preliminary March 2014 Agenda
- F. Correspondence and PAC Agenda Action Sheet (Draft)

Commissioner Morrone moved, seconded by School Board Member O'Shea and carried, that the Consent Agenda items be approved (Vote 8–0).

PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Public Hearing Format Announcement and Oath

Upon request by the Vice-Chairman, all persons planning to give testimony were duly sworn by the Deputy Clerk.

B. Amendments to the Countywide Future Land Use Plan

SUBTHRESHOLD AMENDMENT

PUBLIC HEARING: CASE CW 14-05, A PROPOSAL BY THE CITY OF DUNEDIN TO AMEND THE COUNTYWIDE FUTURE LAND USE PLAN FROM INSTITUTIONAL (I) TO RESIDENTIAL URBAN (RU) – APPROVED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to legal notice published in the January 23, 2014 issue of the *Tampa Bay Times* as evidenced by affidavit of publication filed with the Clerk, public hearing was held on Case CW 14-05, a proposal by the City of Dunedin to amend the Countywide Future Land Use Plan from I to RU re 2.5 acres m.o.l., located at 1289 and 1293 Michigan Boulevard, Dunedin.

Referring to aerial and street-level photographs and the land use map, Mr. Schoderbock pointed out the location of the subject area, described surrounding land uses, and provided a brief overview of the proposal, indicating that the site contains a vacant single family home and a former church; and that the request is to change the land use on two portions of the site, which will be combined with the remaining 2.8 acres (already designated RU) for development of a 23 unit single-family neighborhood.

Planners Advisory Committee (PAC) Chairman Marie Dauphinais indicated that the PAC voted unanimously in favor of the request.

No one appeared in response to the Vice-Chairman's call for citizens wishing to be heard; whereupon, Vice-Mayor Cookie Kennedy moved, seconded by Mayor Eggers and carried, that Case CW 14-05 be approved as recommended by staff (Vote 8-0).

REGULAR AMENDMENTS

PUBLIC HEARING: CASE CW 14-06 (SAP ADOPTION 2014) CENTRAL AVENUE REVITALIZATION PLAN SPECIAL AREA PLAN (SAP), A PROPOSAL BY THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG TO AMEND THE COUNTYWIDE FUTURE LAND USE PLAN MAP FROM PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT – RESIDENTIAL, PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT – MIXED USE, AND COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT TO PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT – MIXED USE WITH ACTIVITY CENTER AND COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT WITH ACTIVITY CENTER – APPROVED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to legal notice published in the January 23, 2014 issue of the *Tampa Bay Times* as evidenced by affidavit of publication filed with the Clerk, public hearing was held on Case CW 14-06, a proposal by the City of St. Petersburg to amend the Countywide Future Land Use Plan Map from Planned Redevelopment – Residential, Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use, and Community Redevelopment District to Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use with Activity Center and Community Redevelopment District with Activity Center, re 184.3 acres m.o.l. (Central Avenue Revitalization Plan [CARP] Special Area Plan [SAP]).

Mr. Crawford indicated that the plan was in transition during the Countywide Plan Map update, but as the City moved rather quickly, it will be on the new Map. Referring to aerial and street-level photographs and the land use map, Mr. Mettler pointed out the location of the subject area and provided a brief overview of the proposal, indicating that the CARP identifies objectives to encourage redevelopment of and a “unified vision” for the Central Avenue Corridor while encouraging the seven districts within the corridor to develop their own identities; and that the amendments address two specific segments of the corridor: to the east between 19th Street and 31st Street and to the west between 34th Street and Pasadena Avenue. He discussed the objectives of the CARP, the proposed densities and intensities, and the transit stops, noting that the Plan will become more feasible if Greenlight Pinellas passes in November; whereupon, he presented staff’s recommendation for approval based on the accompanying SAP titled “Central Avenue Revitalization Plan,” subject to the following conditions:

1. Submission by the City of any future amendment to the SAP for receipt and acceptance, or for consideration as an amendment, as is determined necessary, under the Countywide Plan Map amendment process.
2. Submission by the City of an assessment of the Special Area Plan’s progress with respect to its enumerated objectives five years from the effective date of the Countywide Plan Map amendments pursuant to the SAP.

3. Submission of a revised SAP document to include revised text per the City's Resolution No. 2013-544 regarding the 2.5 floor area ratio non-residential intensity standard, as well as other noted changes.
4. Submission of amendments to the Vision 2020 SAP to include revisions of the Planned Redevelopment – Residential and Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use maps (Maps 1 and 2), revisions of the discussion of the Grand Central District and corridors and adding reference to the two zoning districts associated with PR-MU for consideration as an amendment under the Countywide Plan Map amendment process.

In response to queries by Commissioner Morroni regarding outreach to the citizens living in the many homes, apartments, and condominiums in the area, Mr. Mettler indicated that the PPC sent approximately 750 notices to property owners in the area. Derek Kilborn, St. Petersburg Planning and Economic Development Office, indicated that, initially, over 2,200 direct mail pieces were sent to property owners within and surrounding the boundary, 90 inquiries were received, and 10 e-mails were received opposing the plan. He indicated that a series of advertised public hearings were held, with only a few people in attendance, noting that the two sections being considered today were discussed back in 2007 as a part of the Vision 2020 Plan; whereupon, Councilmember Kennedy provided input, adding that the Central Avenue Councils have weighed in. In response to query by School Board Member O'Shea, Mr. Mettler indicated that the Plan has been aligned with Greenlight Pinellas, which was confirmed by Mr. Crawford with input by Councilmember Kennedy and Tom Whalen, City of St. Petersburg Transportation and Planning Office.

PAC Chairman Marie Dauphinais indicated that the PAC had voted unanimously to approve the staff recommendation subject to the four conditions; and Mr. Kilborn indicated that the City of St. Petersburg supports the staff conditions.

Responding to the Chairman's call for citizens wishing to be heard, St. Petersburg Councilmember Wengay Newton appeared and indicated that he represents District 7, where many of the citizens live who will be affected by the amendment, and related their concerns regarding the adverse impact to their quality of life. In response to query by Commissioner Morroni, he indicated that he and Councilmember Charlie Gerdis, who represents District 1 where the rest of the citizens affected by the amendment live, voted against the Plan when it came before the Council, noting that the number of citizens appearing at the public hearings was misleading, as many of them were presidents of neighborhood associations representing many people who live along the corridor.

February 12, 2014

No one else appeared in response to the Vice-Chairman's call for opponents or proponents; whereupon, she closed the public hearing. In response to queries by Commissioner Crozier and Vice-Mayor Cookie Kennedy, Mr. Kilborn discussed the two-year public engagement process leading up to the adoption of the Central Avenue Revitalization Plan, explained the permitting process that will be followed when development begins, and indicated that the maximum height allowed will be 72 feet. Mr. Crawford explained why staff is recommending approval for a plan that calls for density and intensity above what is allowed in the Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use category and a 50 percent increase in what is allowed in the Community Redevelopment District, noting that there has been a lot of coordination with similar plans in St. Petersburg and Greenlight Pinellas, and the increase is not out of line with what is proposed in the Technical Memorandum for transit corridors in the new Plan.

In response to comments made by Vice-Mayor Mullins and Mayor Eggers while expressing concern for the owners who plan to remain in their homes, Mr. Crawford indicated that he is not aware of any mechanism that would cap the property taxes during the transition period.

Thereupon, Councilmember Kennedy moved, seconded by Commissioner Morroni and carried, that Case CW 14-06 be approved as recommended by staff and including the four conditions (Vote 8-0).

PUBLIC HEARING: CASE CW 14-07, A PROPOSAL BY THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG TO AMEND THE COUNTYWIDE FUTURE LAND USE PLAN MAP FROM INDUSTRIAL LIMITED (IL) AND WATER/DRAINAGE FEATURE (W/DF) TO PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT-MIXED USE (PR-MU) – CONTINUED TO APRIL 9, 2014 PPC MEETING AS REQUESTED BY THE CITY

Pursuant to legal notice published in the January 23, 2014 issue of the *Tampa Bay Times* as evidenced by affidavit of publication filed with the Clerk, public hearing was held on Case CW 14-07, a proposal by the City of St. Petersburg to amend the Countywide Future Land Use Plan Map from IL and W/DF to PR-MU, re 7.3 acres m.o.l., generally located on the northeast corner of 34th Street North and 13th Avenue North.

Mr. Crawford related that the City of St. Petersburg has requested a continuance of the item until April. He indicated that staff is continuing to work with the applicant's representatives to arrange for mitigation for the loss of Industrial designated land, noting that the property is not entirely appropriate for the Industrial designation.

Councilmember Kennedy moved that the application be continued to the April meeting.

In response to query by Commissioner Morroni as to why the property is not suitable for the Industrial designation, Mr. Crawford related that the property is next to the St. Pete Times facility, with a rail line separating the two; and that a portion of the lot is long and narrow and would probably be more appropriately designated Mixed-Use; whereupon, Commissioner Morroni expressed concern that chipping away a few acres at a time soon adds up to a loss of hundreds of acres of Industrial land.

Thereupon, Commissioner Crozier seconded the motion, and upon call for the vote, the motion to continue Case CW 14-07 until the April PPC meeting carried unanimously (Vote 8-0).

REPORTS AND OTHER ACTION

- A. Minor Plan Change: Case CW 01-25 (SAP Change No. 8-2014) Beach By Design - City of Clearwater – Received/Accepted/Authorized Transmittal to the CPA for Receipt and Acceptance

Referring to aerial and street-level photographs and the land use map, Mr. Mettler related that the City of Clearwater is proposing to amend the Beach By Design Special Area Plan (SAP) regarding building setbacks, step-backs, and hotel operational characteristics, as outlined in Clearwater Ordinance No. 8497-14, which has been filed and made a part of the record. He indicated that Beach By Design does not establish side and rear setbacks, and these amendments establish minimum 10-foot side building setbacks for development in the Small Motel District to prevent the canyon effect caused by intense density; and that to assure the properties remain temporary lodging establishments, the amendments stipulate that the properties receiving allocations from the Hotel Density Reserve pool will be subjected to the same setback and step-back regulations that Beach By Design requires on Coronado Drive; whereupon, he recommended that the Council receive and accept the Minor Plan Change and transmit it to the Countywide Planning Authority (CPA).

PAC Chairman Marie Dauphinais indicated that the PAC had voted unanimously to approve the staff recommendation.

In response to query by Councilmember Kennedy regarding the Hotel Density Reserve, Gina Clayton, Assistant Planning Director, City of Clearwater, related that following a lengthy process that looked at build-out scenarios on Clearwater Beach, density was basically

reallocated and put into a pool to be divvied out by the City Council on a project-by-project basis to provide incentives for resort hotels; and that, similarly, this has been created to save the mom-and-pop hotels and to prevent them from becoming de facto condominiums. Responding to query by Commissioner Morroni as to why the setbacks were not originally included in Beach By Design, Ms. Clayton indicated that the beach is governed by the Tourist Zoning District; that Beach By Design requirements may differ from those of the District; and that some code amendments include cross-references for clarification.

Thereupon, Councilmember Kennedy moved, seconded by Commissioner Morroni and carried, that Minor Plan Change No. 8-2014 be received, accepted, and transmitted to the CPA (Vote 8-0).

* * * *

Deviating from the agenda, Mr. Crawford indicated that Item C would be addressed at this time.

* * * *

C. Countywide Plan and Transportation Update: Technical Memo II – Updated Countywide Plan – Received/Accepted

Mr. Crawford indicated that Phase I of the update of the Countywide Plan was completed in July 2012; and that Former PPC Executive Director David P. Healey, Healey Consultant Services, will address the major concepts of Phase II, which includes the Technical Memorandum, a copy of which has been filed and made a part of the record.

Mr. Healey indicated that the Phase II Technical Memorandum summarizes the Map and Rule concepts the Council staff, the consultant team, and local governments have been working on for the last two years, describes the composition of the Plan and details how it will work, includes the updated Countywide Plan Map series, and provides a description of the new land use categories.

Mr. Healey indicated that the amended Special Act called for a more broadly-defined Countywide Plan with fewer land use categories, collaboration with local governments, and coordination between land use and transportation planning, hence the Land Use Working Group has provided input since the update began, the PPC staff met with staff from many of the

local governments, and the Greenlight Pinellas brochure explains the integration between the update of the Countywide Plan and what is being done with the Long Range Transportation Plan.

Mr. Healey stated that the update has its roots in Pinellas By Design, which was approved 10 years ago by the Council, the County Commission, and the 24 member local governments, and was the first attempt to focus on redevelopment and integrate land use, economic development, transportation, and the concepts of improved urban design with transit oriented design. He related that Pinellas By Design sets the stage to move to the Transit Oriented Land Use Vision Map, which is part of the update; and that the Vision Map displays graphically the essential components of the Plan in terms of the activity centers and the corridors, noting that it is fully integrated with the process to adopt and amend the Plan and is an important working document, not just a pretty map.

Mr. Healey provided historical information on how the present 36 Countywide Plan Map categories evolved over time to reflect existing land use patterns, and indicated that the new Countywide Plan is future oriented and has only nine standard categories and two non-standard categories – the activity centers and the multimodal corridors; whereupon, he discussed the criteria and guidelines used to amalgamate or consolidate the 36 land use categories. He discussed how anomalies were created when the 36 categories were consolidated, and indicated that a process called *legacy entitlements* has been created to account for individual plan classifications at the local level that differ from the nine proposed categories. He explained that if an existing plan of a local government is absorbed into one of the new land use categories, the existing plan will not be considered inconsistent or nonconforming and can remain as it is and, if the land is vacant, can be developed under the existing local plan, pointing out that the land would need to comport with the new Countywide Plan and Rules only if the local government decided to change the land use category.

Noting that the Central Avenue Revitalization Plan and Beach By Design heard today are prime examples of what a new non-standard category is designed to accomplish, Mr. Healey related that one difficulty encountered was how to recognize the 23 local government Special Area Plans already in existence; that the existing plans will be recognized specifically in the new updated Countywide Plan; and that the vision map will anticipate primary and secondary corridors taken from and consistent with Greenlight Pinellas and will be displayed and described on Submap No. 1, with the caveat that additional flexibility in density will be provided for those corridors in all of the Districts. He indicated that the Scenic Non-Commercial Corridors will be carried over from the current Map and become Submap No. 2 and will retain the function of discouraging commercial proliferation on the scenic corridors.

Mr. Healey indicated that while the Technical Memorandum is the backbone of the plan and the framework to bring it forward, the Phase II Summary Report, which has been filed and made a part of the record, details how it is to be administered and streamlines the process for local government. He indicated that there will be three levels for a future land use amendment:

- Level 1 Allows local government to change its plan in a way that does not affect the Countywide Plan by using a receive-and-record process. Would require nothing else of the local government.

- Level 2 Similar to today's standard Plan amendment process. Five different kinds of amendments would require a public hearing before the Council, a recommendation by the Council, and action by the Countywide Planning Authority. Activity center or corridor has been anticipated and is on the vision plan.

- Level 3 Full review. Would occur only if a new activity center or a multimodal corridor is proposed that is not on the vision plan.

Mr. Healey discussed how the multimodal corridor and activity center plans differ from the process used today and described the planning and urban design principles and strategies developed with Tindale-Oliver & Associates, Inc., noting that the process will result in a much simpler submission from local government. He reiterated that the plans already recognized or being prepared, such as the Central Avenue Plan, the U.S. Highway 19 Corridor Plan, and the Largo Mall Redevelopment Plan, will be accommodated within the framework of the new Plan subject to any follow-up regulatory measures.

In summary, Mr. Healey stated that this is Phase II of the original three-phase process to update the Countywide Plan and includes a complete draft of what is proposed, as well as an indication of how the rules will be developed and how the process will be administered; and that staff is asking that the Council accept Phase II as a building block and allow it to move forward with the actual preparation of the Rules and the Map so that the formal approval process can begin in May, noting that there is a requirement that the Plan and Map be distributed to local governments for an additional comment period of 60 days before public hearings and adoption by the Council and the BCC.

Mr. Crawford recognized Evan Johnson, Tindale-Oliver & Associates, Inc., noting that Tindale-Oliver is also preparing a long range transportation plan for the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). He thanked Carol Stricklin and the other members of the Land Use Working Group for their assistance, and related that the Working Group has endorsed Phase II, with the understanding that there is more work to do to update the Countywide Plan and Rules. He related that an abbreviated form of the presentation was given to the BCC at its strategic planning session in January, and the PAC has reviewed the documents, but have not been asked for a recommendation, noting that the PAC, per the Special Act, is the next entity that the Rule amendments must go through.

Thereupon, Commissioner Crozier moved, seconded by Mayor Eggers and carried unanimously, that the item be approved (Vote 8-0).

* * * *

Deviating from the agenda, Mr. Crawford indicated that Executive Director Item B would be addressed at this time.

* * * *

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ITEMS

B. Annual Audit, Fiscal Year 2012-2013 – Accepted/Authorized Distribution

Mr. Crawford referred to the Annual Audit Report prepared by CliftonLarsonAllen LLP, a copy of which has been filed and made a part of the record, noting that the firm also serves as the auditor for the MPO.

Michael E. Carter, CPA, indicated that the report presents a clean, unqualified opinion on the financial statements and auditing standards, discussed the accounting statements, and related that he did a six-year recap of the financial statements. He indicated that for 2013, the PPC had total assets of about \$578,000, mostly in cash; liabilities of \$62,600; compensated employee absences of \$107,000 and shown as a long-term liability; and reserves to carry forward totaling \$470,000. He stated that the PPC has intentionally been drawing down its reserves and cash for the last few years, but had increased the millage this year, with which he approved, and Mr. Crawford provided input.

In response to query by Mayor Eggers, Mr. Crawford provided information about the rent item, relating that rent from the former location ends in March.

Roxana Acosta, CPA, reviewed the government audit standards, stating that both reports were clean. Mr. Carter reviewed a letter to the Planning Council Board of Directors summarizing the audit; whereupon, he thanked Mr. Crawford and his staff for their cooperation, noting that the audit went very smoothly.

Councilmember Kennedy related that although there will be a unified PPC and MPO Board, each will require separate audits as the MPO is federally driven by statute and the PPC is state driven by statute.

Thereupon, Commissioner Morroni moved, seconded by Mayor Eggers and carried, that the Annual Financial Statements and Regulatory Reports for the year ended September 30, 2013 be approved; and that the Interim Executive Director be authorized to transmit the report to the Auditor General of the State of Florida and the Board of County Commissioners (Vote 8-0).

REPORTS AND OTHER ACTION (CONTINUED)

- A. (Addressed Earlier in the Meeting)
 - B. Truth in Annexation Online Worksheet – Update for Fiscal Year 2014 – Continued to April 9, 2014
-

Commissioner Crozier moved, seconded by Mayor Eggers and carried, that the Truth in Annexation Online Worksheet item be continued to the April meeting (Vote 8-0).

- C. (Addressed Earlier in the Meeting)
- D. ACPT Memorandum of Understanding - Approved

Mr. Crawford presented the second Advisory Committee for Pinellas Transportation (ACPT) Memorandum of Understanding, which would allow it to continue its work, noting that the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) has modified the tasks and roles of the Committee. He indicated that Attorney White and MPO Attorney David Sadowsky have reviewed the Memorandum of Understanding and suggested changes that would clarify the role of the PPC and the tasks of the ACPT; that one modification is that the PPC will work toward further education and review of Greenlight Pinellas, rather than “endorsing” the plan; and that the modifications have been forwarded to Brad Miller of the PSTA; whereupon, Vice-Chairman Hock-DiPolito indicated that the MPO had approved the PPC modifications.

Councilmember Kennedy clarified that staff is not objecting or opposing anything within the Greenlight proposal, only just limiting it to land use; whereupon, Commissioner Crozier moved, seconded by School Board Member O’Shea and carried, that Mr. Crawford be authorized to sign the Memorandum of Understanding based on the changes outlined in the agenda memorandum (Vote 8-0)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ITEMS (CONTINUED)

C. Verbal Reports

- (1) Mr. Crawford indicated that staff understands the Governor has signed the PPC/MPO Reapportionment Plan, but confirmation has not been received.
- (2) Mr. Crawford reported that staff has researched the Industrial Land Bank concept advanced by Councilmember Kennedy and did not find any place in the country that specifically allows a fee in lieu of an amendment to a more profitable use, either industrial or any other land use category, noting that the idea is to provide funding for the County to purchase and renovate industrial land rather than allow an owner to use the property for development other than industrial. Discussion ensued wherein Councilmember Kennedy referenced the Dome Industrial District, and Mr. Crawford confirmed that nothing was found that would forbid the concept, and that Economic Development and the County Attorney’s Office are in on the search.
- (3) Mr. Crawford reported that he has composed a letter for the Chairman to sign thanking Carol Stricklin and the Land Use Working Group for their assistance with the update of the Countywide Plan.

OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS

Chairman/Member Items - None

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:01 P.M.

Vice-Chairman